



Inovace kurikula studijního programu Všeobecné lékařství
CZ.1.07/2.2.00/07.0047

Questionnaire for **TEACHING EVALUATION** at the Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Palacký University in Olomouc for the academic year 2017/2018

Dear colleague,

We are addressing you with a request for filling in a questionnaire, which evaluates the individual aspects of your study and the quality of teaching in the last academic year. The questionnaire is anonymous; and when answered, please submit it by placing in the collection box at the Student Department.

The entered data will be scanned, so please mark the numbers with a **ballpoint pen only** in the score card below; do not fold the sheet. If you do not submit the questionnaire when handing over your credit book, it is possible to submit it by October 2nd in the collection box at the faculty Student Department.

HOW TO EVALUATE?

For complex evaluation of the last year of your study, mark your response to the 10 questions below. For each statement, pick a numeral evaluation from 1 to 5, which best represents your opinion, and **mark it with a cross**. **1 signifies complete agreement with the statement and 5 complete disagreement**. If you cannot decide on any of the score options, please leave that statement as unmarked. If you feel like leaving a comment, please use the respective comments box.

The results of the teaching evaluation and the acquired conclusions will be used to further improve the teaching at the Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Palacký University in Olomouc. **Thank you for your kind cooperation.**

Evaluated year of study:		2 nd year – DENTISTRY				
Vzor označení:		1	2	X	4	5
A.	QUALITY OF TEACHING AND TRAINING					
1.	Lectures, practical classes and seminars were well prepared.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	Our teachers were very approachable and helpful.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	The literature was of good quality and well available.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	Selected study materials were available on the websites of the departments or were made available in printed form prior to lectures.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	The conditions for awarding credits for evaluated subjects were adhered to.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	There were adequate numbers of examination dates, which were available for registration well in advance, and there was adequate time between individual examination dates. (please do not take blocking of examination dates by students into account)	1	2	3	4	5

Comments regarding quality of teaching and training:

